

## Table of Tables

Table 1. Movement Limits for Bridges (from Moulton, 1986) .....	16
Table 2. Equivalent Model Parameters for Rowan County Bridge .....	25
Table 3. Rowan County Nonlinear Analysis Results: Maximum Axial Load Case .....	26
Table 4. Rowan County Nonlinear Analysis Results: Maximum Lateral Load Case ....	26
Table 5. Rowan County Nonlinear Analysis Results: Maximum Ratio of Demand .....	27
Table 6. Rowan County Alternative Shaft Configurations .....	28
Table 7. Equivalent Model Parameters for Wake County Bridge .....	31
Table 8. Wake County Nonlinear Analysis Results at Top of Shaft: Maximum Lateral Load Case .....	32
Table 9. Wake County Alternative Shaft Configurations, based on AASHTO Groups I, IA, II and III.....	33
Table 10. Equivalent Model Parameters for Pitt County Bridge .....	36
Table 11. Pitt County Nonlinear Analysis Results at Top of Shaft: Maximum .....	37
Table 12. Pitt County Alternative Shaft Configurations, based on AASHTO Groups....	37
Table 13. Properties of the Bearing Pads.....	41
Table 14.(a-c) Shear Modulus according to several test definitions for Type V- 50.....	55
Table 15. (a-c) Shear Modulus according to several test definitions for Type V- 100....	56
Table 16 (a-c) Shear Modulus according to several test definitions for Type V- 150.....	57
Table 17. (a-c) Shear Modulus according to several test definitions for Type VI- 50 .....	58
Table 18.(a-c) Shear Modulus according to several test definitions for Type VI- 100 ....	59
Table 19.(a-c) Shear Modulus according to several test definitions for Type VI- 150 ....	60
Table 20. Shear modulus obtained in the Yura et al tests (2001) from NCHRP report....	61
Table 21. Comparison of the shear modulus (G) obtained in all the tests for Type V .....	62
Table 22. Loads for circular pile cases .....	83
Table 23.Loads for square pile cases .....	83
Table 24. Loads for HP pile cases .....	83
Table 25. Input parameters for rotational stiffness model .....	114
<b>Table 26. Results of varying rotational spring attached to Wake County Bridge Model.....</b>	<b>117</b>
<b>Table 27. Results of varying rotational spring attached to Halifax County Bridge Model.....</b>	<b>120</b>
Table 28. Pile embedded in sand: equivalent lengths and k factor.....	125
Table 29. Transverse yield displacement based on equivalent model parameters .....	129
Table 30. Longitudinal yield displacement based on equivalent model parameters ....	129
Table 31. Input variables for Halifax County bridge section.....	133
Table 32. Results from Joint Closure Investigation for Halifax County Bridge .....	134
Table 33. Results from determined required joint thickness for failure due to joint closure.....	135
Table 34. MultiPier T-z curve models .....	136
Table 35. Undrained shear strength of the drilled shaft clay model .....	137
Table 36. Maximum frictional resistance of Horvath and Kenney (1979) .....	138
Table 37. Input properties for IGM (Intermediate Geo-Material) model .....	141
Table 38. Inputs for P-y curve of Geologic model (Nash, Caldwell, and Wilson).....	147
Table 39. Inputs for P-y curves of Geologic model (I-40 and I-85) .....	148
Table 40. Dimension and structural design for the drilled shaft analyzed.....	153